arXiv:math/0405412v4 [math.AG] 26 May 2004

# Hirzebruch classes and motivic Chern classes for singular (complex) algebraic varieties

Jean-Paul Brasselet  Jörg Schürmann  Shoji Yokura

### Abstract

In this paper we study some new theories of characteristic homology classes of singular complex algebraic varieties. First we introduce a natural transformation $T_y : K_0(var/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$ commuting with proper pushdown, which generalizes the corresponding Hirzebruch characteristic. Here $K_0(var/X)$ is the relative Grothendieck group of complex algebraic varieties over $X$ as introduced and studied by Looijenga and Bittner in relation to motivic integration. $T_y$ is a homology class version of the motivic measure corresponding to a suitable specialization of the well known Hodge polynomial. This transformation unifies the Chern class transformation of MacPherson and Schwartz (for $y = -1$) and the Todd class transformation in the singular Riemann-Roch theorem of Baum-Fulton-MacPherson (for $y = 0$). In fact, $T_y$ is the composition of a generalized version of this Todd class transformation due to Yokura, and a new motivic Chern class transformation $mC_* : K_0(var/X) \to G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$, which generalizes the total $\lambda$-class $\lambda_y T^*X$ of the cotangent bundle to singular spaces. Here $G_0(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves, and the construction of $mC_*$ is based on some results from the theory of algebraic mixed Hodge modules due to M.Saito. In the final part of the paper we use the algebraic cobordism theory $\Omega_*$ of Levine and Morel to lift $mC_*$ further up to a natural transformation $mC'_* : K_0(var/X) \to \Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$, where the last theory is a suitable universal oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory. Moreover, all our results can be extended to varieties over a base field $k$ embeddable into $\mathbb{C}$.

## Introduction

In this paper we study some new theories of characteristic homology classes of a singular algebraic variety $X$ defined over a base field $k$ embeddable into $\mathbb{C}$. Let $K_0(var/X)$ be the relative Grothendieck group of algebraic varieties over $X$ as introduced and studied by Looijenga [Lo] and Bittner [Bi] in relation to motivic integration.

We introduce characteristic homomology class transformations on $K_0(var/X)$ filling in the vertical maps in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(var/X) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "mC'_*"] & K_0(var/X) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "mC_*"] & K_0(var/X) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "T_y"] & K_0(var/X) \arrow[d, "T_1"] \\
\Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \arrow[r, "\omega_*"] & G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \arrow[r, "td_{(1+y)}"] & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, y^{-1}] \arrow[r, "y=1"] & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\omega_*$ comes from the universal property of the oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory $\Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ [LM, Loe], and $td_{(1+y)}$ is the generalization given by Yokura [Y4] of the Todd class transformation $td_*$ used in the singular Riemann-Roch theorem of Baum-Fulton-MacPherson [BFM, FM] (for Borel-Moore homology) or Fulton [Ful] (for Chow groups).

All our transformation commute with proper (or projective) pushdown (in the case of $mC'_*$), and are uniquely determined by this property together with the following normalization conditions for $X$ smooth and pure $d$-dimensional:

- $mC'_*([id_X]) = \tilde{\lambda}_y(T^*X) \cdot [X]$, with $[X]$ the fundamental class and $\tilde{\lambda}_y$ a suitable total $\lambda$-class for this context (as defined in the last section).
- $mC_*([id_X]) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} [\Lambda^i T^*X] \cdot y^i = \lambda_y([T^*X]) \cap [\mathcal{O}_X]$, with $\lambda_y$ the total $\lambda$-class.
- $T_y([id_X]) = \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX) \cap [X]$, with $\widetilde{td_{(y)}}$ the corresponding Hirzebruch characteristic class.
- $T_1([id_X]) = L^*(TX) \cap [X]$, with $L^*$ the total Thom-Hirzebruch characteristic $L$-class.

Moreover, the transformation $T_y$ fits also into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \arrow[d, swap, "c_*"] & K_0(var/X) \arrow[l, swap, "e"] \arrow[r, "mC_0"] \arrow[d, swap, "T_y"] & G_0(X) \arrow[d, "td_*"] \\
H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \arrow[l, swap, "y=-1"] \arrow[r, "y=0"] & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $c_*$ the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson transformation [Schwa, M1, BrS, Ken] on the group $F(X)$ of algebraically constructible functions. Here $mC_0$ is the degree zero component of our motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$, and $e$ is simply given by

$$e([f : Y \to X]) := f_! 1_Y \in F(X)\,.$$

Note that the homomorphisms $e$ and $mC_0$ are surjective. So $T_y$ unifies the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson transformation $c_*$ and the Todd transformation $td_*$ of Baum-Fulton-MacPherson (for Borel-Moore homology) or Fulton (for Chow groups).

Fix an embedding $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. The construction of $mC_*$ is based on some results from the theory of algebraic mixed Hodge modules due to M.Saito [Sai1]-[Sai6], which imply the existence of the two natural transformations

$$K_0(var/X) \xrightarrow{mH} K_0(MHM(X/k)) \xrightarrow{gr^F_{-*}DR} G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$$

whose composition is our motivic transformation $mC_*$. Here $K_0(MHM(X/k))$ is the Grothendieck group of the abelian category $MHM(X/k)$ of mixed Hodge modules on $X$ (depending on the embedding $k \subset \mathbb{C}$), and $mH$ is defined by

$$mH([f : Y \to X]) := [f_! \mathbb{Q}^H_Y] \in K_0(MHM(X/k)) .$$

Here $\mathbb{Q}^H_Y$ is in some sense the 'constant Hodge module' on $Y$. Similarly, $gr^F_{-*}DR$ comes form a suitable filtered de Rham complex of the filtered holonomic D-module underlying a mixed Hodge module.

In the last section we lift our transformation $mC_*$ further up to the natural tranformation $mC'$. This is based on the result of Levine and Morel [LM, Loe] that $\omega_*$ is an isomorphism for $X$ smooth and pure-dimensional, together with a presentation of $K_0(var/X)$ in terms of a blow-up relation for smooth spaces, which is due to Looijenga [Lo] and Bittner [Bi].

It is a pleasure to thank P.Aluffi for some discussions on this subject. The paper [A] was a strong motivation for our work, which started with the papers [Y1]-[Y6] of the third author. Some of these papers are partly motivated by the final remark of MacPherson's survey article

- [M2, p.326]: '... It remains to be seen wether there is a unified theory of characteristic classes of singular varieties like the classical one outlined above. ...'.

We hope that our results give some key to MacPherson's question and answer the following question or problem:

- [Y4, p.267]: '... Is there a theory of characteristic homology classes unifying the above three characteristic homology classes of possible singular varieties? ...'.

- [A, p.1]: '... There is a strong motivic feel to the theory of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes, although this does not seem to have yet been congealed into a precise statement in the literature. ...'.

# Contents

**1 The generalized Hirzebruch theorem 4**

**2 Hirzebruch characteristic classes for singular varieties 6**

**3 Motivic Chern classes for singular varieties 12**

**4 Hodge theoretic definition of motivic Chern classes 14**

**5 Motivic Chern classes in an algebraic cobordism theory 23**

# 1 The generalized Hirzebruch theorem

First we recall the classical generalized Hirzebruch Riemann-Roch theorem [Hi] (compare with [Y3, Y4]). Let $X$ be a smooth complex projective variety and $E$ a holomorphic vector bundle over $X$. Then the $\chi_y$-characteristic of $E$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_y(X,E) :=& \sum_{p=0}^{<\infty} \chi(X, E\otimes \Lambda^p T^*X)\cdot y^p \\ =& \sum_{p=0}^{<\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{<\infty} (-1)^i dim_C H^i(X, E\otimes \Lambda^p T^*X) \right) \cdot y^p \,,\end{aligned}$$

with $T^*X$ the holomorphic cotangent bundle of $X$. Then one gets

$$\chi_y(X,E) = \int_X \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX)\cdot ch_{(1+y)}(E)\cap [X] \quad \in \mathbb{Q}[y], \tag{gHRR}$$

$$\text{with} \quad ch_{(1+y)}(E) := \sum_{j=1}^{rk\ E} e^{\beta_j(1+y)}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX) := \prod_{i=1}^{dim X} Q_y(\alpha_i)\,.$$

Here $\beta_j$ are the Chern roots of $E$ and $\alpha_i$ are the Chern roots of the tangent bundle $TX$. Finally $Q_y(\alpha)$ is the normalized power series

$$Q_y(\alpha) := \frac{\alpha(1+y)}{1-e^{-\alpha(1+y)}} - \alpha y \quad \in \mathbb{Q}[y][[\alpha]]\,.$$

So this power series $Q_y(\alpha)$ specializes to

$$Q_y(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1+\alpha & \text{for } y=-1, \\ \frac{\alpha}{1-e^{-\alpha}} & \text{for } y=0, \\ \frac{\alpha}{\tanh \alpha} & \text{for } y=1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the modified Todd class $\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX)$ unifies the following important characteristic cohomology classes of $TX$:

$$\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX) = \begin{cases} c^*(TX) & \text{the total Chern class for } y=-1, \\ td^*(TX) & \text{the total Todd for } y=0, \\ L^*(TX) & \text{the total Thom-Hirzebruch L-class for } y=1, \end{cases}$$

and the gRRH-theorem for the trivial bundle $E$ specializes to the calculation of the following important invariants with $\chi_y(X) := \chi_y(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$:

$$\chi_y(X) = \begin{cases} e(X) = \int_X c^*(TX) \cap [X] & \text{the top. Euler characteristic for } y=-1, \\ \chi(X) = \int_X td^*(TX) \cap [X] & \text{the arithmetic genus for } y=0, \\ \sigma(X) = \int_X L^*(TX) \cap [X] & \text{the signature for } y=1. \end{cases}$$

These three invariants and classes have been generalized to a singular complex algebraic variety $X$ in the following way (where the invariants are only defined for $X$ compact):

$$e(X) = \int_X c_*(X), \quad \text{with} \quad c_* : F(X) \to H_*(X) := \begin{cases} A_*(X) \\ H_{2*}^{BM}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \end{cases}$$

the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson transformation [Schwa, M1, BrS, Ken] from the abelian group $F(X)$ of complex algebraically constructible functions to homology, where one can use Chow groups $A_*(\cdot)$ or Borel-Moore homology groups $H_{2*}^{BM}(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $c_*(X) := c_*(1_X)$.

$$\chi(X) = \int_X td_*(X), \quad \text{with} \quad td_* : G_0(X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

the Todd transformation in the singular Riemann-Roch theorem of Baum-Fulton-MacPherson [BFM, FM] (for Borel-Moore homology) or Fulton [Ful] (for Chow groups). Here $G_0(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves. Then $td_*(X) := td_*([\mathcal{O}_X])$, with $[\mathcal{O}_X]$ the class of the structure sheaf. Finally for compact $X$ one also has

$$\sigma(X) = \int_X L_*(X), \quad \text{with} \quad L_* : \Omega(X) \to H_{2*}^{BM}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

the homology L-class transformation of Cappell-Shaneson [CS1] (as reformulated by Yokura [Y1]). Here $\Omega(X)$ is the abelian group of cobordism classes of selfdual constructible complexes. Then $L_*(X) := L_*([\mathcal{IC}_X])$, with $[\mathcal{IC}_X]$ the class of the intersection cohomology complex of Goresky-MacPherson [GM].

All these transformations commute with the corresponding pushdown for proper maps (where all spaces are assumed to be compact in the case of the L-class transformation). They are uniquely characterized by this pushdown property and the normalization condition, that for $X$ smooth and pure-dimensional one gets back the corresponding classes of $TX$:

$$c_*(X) = c^*(TX) \cap [X], \quad td_*(X) = Td^*(TX) \cap [X] \quad \text{and} \quad L_*(X) = L^*(TX) \cap [X].$$

Here the uniqueness result follows from resolution of singularities. So all these theories have the same formalism, but they are defined on completely different theories! Nevertheless, it is natural to ask for another theory of characteristic homology classes of singular complex algebraic varieties, which unifies the above characteristic homology classes (as in [M2, Y3, Y4]). Of course in the smooth case, this is done by the generalized Todd class $\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX) \cap [X]$ of the tangent bundle. We now explain a partial solution to this question.

## 2 Hirzebruch characteristic classes for singular varieties

In the following we consider algebraic varieties over a base field $k$ embeddable into $\mathbb{C}$, and all spaces are assumed to be reduced. Then the homology group $H_*(X)$ is either the Chow group or the Borel-Moore homology group in case $k = \mathbb{C}$. Let $K_0(var/X)$ be the relative Grothendieck group of algebraic varieties over $X$, i.e. the quotient of the free abelian group of isomorphism classes of algebraic morphisms $Y \to X$ to $X$, modulo the additivity relation generated by

$$[Y \to X] = [Z \to Y \to X] + [Y \backslash Z \to Y \to X] \tag{add}$$

for $Z \subset Y$ a closed algebraic subset of $Y$. By resolution of singularities, $K_0(var/X)$ is generated by classes $[Y \to X]$ with $Y$ smooth pure dimensional and proper (or projective) over $X$! These relative groups were introduced by Looijenga in his Bourbaki talk [Lo] about motivic measures and motivic integration, and then further studied by Bittner [Bi]. From our point of view, these are the 'motivic versions' of the group $F(X)$ of algebraically constructible functions. In particular, they have the same formalism, i.e. functorial pushdown $f_!$ and pullback $f^*$ for any algebraic map $f : X' \to X$ (which is not necessarily proper), together with a ring multiplication (with unit $[id_X] = c^*[id_{pt}]$ for $c : X \to pt$ a constant map) satisfying the projection formula

$$f_!(\alpha \cdot f^*\beta) = (f_!\alpha) \cdot \beta$$

and the base change formula $g^* f_! = f'_! g'^*$ for any cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X' \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y \arrow[r, "g"] & X .
\end{tikzcd}$$

For later use, let us recall the simple definition of the pullback and pushdown for $f : X' \to X$, and of exterior products:

$$f_!([h : Z \to X']) = [f \circ h : Z \to X] \quad \text{and}$$

$$[Z \to X] \times [Z' \to X'] = [Z \times Z' \to X \times X'] \,.$$

$$\text{Moreover, } f^*([g : Y \to X]) = [g' : Y' \to X']$$

is defined by taking fiber products as above.

By these exterior products, $K_0(var/pt)$ becomes a commutative ring and $K_0(var/X)$ a $K_0(var/pt)$-module such that $f_!$ and $f^*$ are $K_0(var/pt)$-linear.

**Theorem 2.1.** *There exists a unique group homomorphism $T_y$ commuting with pushdown for proper maps:*

$$T_y : K_0(var/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \,,$$

*satisfying the normalization condition*

$$T_y([id_X]) = \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX) \cap [X]$$

*for $X$ smooth and pure-dimensional.*

Note also, that all our groups have a natural increasing filtration preserved under proper pushdown: $F_k K_0(var/X)$ is generated by $[X' \to X]$ with $dimX' \leq k$. Similarly $F_k F(X)$ and $F_k G_0(X)$ is generated by constructible functions or classes of coherent sheaves with support of dimension $\leq k$. Finally we define $F_k\left(H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]\right) := F_k H_*(X) \otimes F_k \mathbb{Q}[y]$, where each factor has its canonical filtration coming from the natural grading. In particular, any evaluation homomorphism $H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \to H_*(X)$ for an $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ is then also filtration preserving.

**Corollary 2.1.**

1. *$T_y$ is filtration preserving.*
2. *$T_y$ commutes with exterior products.*
3. *One has the following Verdier Riemann-Roch formula for $f : X' \to X$ a smooth morphism (of constant relative dimension):*

   $$\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T_f) \cap f^* T_y([Z \to X]) = T_y f^*([Z \to X]) \,.$$

   *Here $T_f$ is the bundle on $X'$ of tangent spaces to the fibers of $f$, i.e. the kernel of the surjection $df : TX' \to f^*TX$. In particular $T_y$ commutes with pullback under étale morphisms (i.e. smooth of relative dimension 0).*

*Proof.*

1. follows by induction on $dimX$ from resolution of singularities, 'additivity' and the normalization condition.
2. follows from the normalization condition together with

   $$(f \times f')_* \simeq f_* \times f'_* \quad \text{on } K_0(var/X \times X') \text{ and } H_*(X \times X') \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$$

   for $f, f'$ proper, and by the multiplicativity of $\widetilde{td_{(y)}}$:

   $$\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T(X \times X')) = \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T(X)) \times \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T(X')) \,.$$

   Compare also with [Kw, KwY] for the case of Chern classes.

3. It is enough to prove the claim for $g : Z \to X$ proper with $Z$ smooth (and pure dimensional). Then it follows from the projection formula
$$g'_*(\alpha \cdot g'^*\beta) = (g'_*\alpha) \cdot \beta$$
for $g'$ proper and the base change formula $f^*g_* = g'_*f'^*$ for the cartesian diagram
$$\begin{tikzcd} Z' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"'] & Z \arrow[d, "g"] \\ X' \arrow[r, "f"] & X \end{tikzcd}$$
with $g, g'$ proper and $f, f'$ smooth (of constant relative dimension). Here these formulae also hold for the homology $H_*(\cdot) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]$:
$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T_f) \cap f^*T_y([Z \to X]) = \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T_f) \cap f^*g_*T_y([id_Z]) \\ =&\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T_f) \cap g'_*f'^*T_y([id_Z]) = g'_*\left(g'^*\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T_f) \cap f'^*(\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TZ) \cap [Z])\right) \\ =&g'_*\left(\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(T_{f'}) \cup f'^*\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TZ) \cap [Z']\right) = g'_*\left(\widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TZ') \cap [Z']\right) \\ =&g'_*T_y([id_{Z'}]) = T_y([Z' \to X']) = T_yf^*([Z \to X])\,. \end{aligned}$$
Of course, we also used the multiplicativity and functoriality of $\widetilde{td_{(y)}}$. Compare also with [Y5] for the case of Chern classes.

□

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exist unique group homomorphisms*
$$e : K_0(var/X) \to F(X) \quad \textit{and} \quad mC_0 : K_0(var/X) \to G_0(X)$$
*commuting with pushdown for proper maps and satisfying the normalization condition*
$$e([id_X]) = 1_X \quad \textit{and} \quad mC_0([id_X]) = [\mathcal{O}_X]$$
*for $X$ smooth and pure-dimensional.*

**Corollary 2.2.** *1. $e$ and $mC_0$ are filtration preserving.*

*2. $e$ and $mC_0$ commute with exterior products and pullback for smooth morphisms (of constant relative dimension).*

*3. The following diagram of natural transformations commutes:*
$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X) \arrow[d, "c_*"'] & K_0(var/X) \arrow[l, "e"'] \arrow[r, "mC_0"] \arrow[d, "T_y"'] & G_0(X) \arrow[d, "td_*"] \\ H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \arrow[l, "y=-1"'] \arrow[r, "y=0"] & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\,. \end{tikzcd}$$
□

Note that the homomorphisms $e$ and $mC_0$ are surjective. So by the diagram above, $T_y$ unifies the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson transformation $c_*$ [Schwa, M1, BrS, Ken] and the Todd transformation $td_*$ of Baum-Fulton-MacPherson [BFM, FM] (for Borel-Moore homology) or Fulton [Ful] (for Chow groups). Of course it is natural to use the induced Chern class transformation with rational coefficients.

Here the transformation $e$ is simply given by

$$e([f : Y \to X]) := f_!(1_Y) = f_! c^* 1_{pt} \in F(X)$$

(with $c : X \to pt$ a constant map). In particular $e([id_X]) = 1_X$ also for any singular $X$ so that

$$T_{-1}([id_X]) = c_*(X) \quad \text{for any singular } X.$$

So the corresponding Hirzebruch characteristic (class) of $X$

$$\chi_y(X) := T_y([X \to pt]) \quad \text{and} \quad T_y(X) := T_y([id_X]) \tag{1}$$

is a natural lift of the Euler characteristic $e(X)$ (for $X$ complete) and the Chern class $c_*(X)$ of $X$.

It seems that our Hirzebruch characteristic class $T_y(X)$ corresponds (for $k = \mathbb{C}$) to a similar class announced some years ago by Cappell and Shaneson [CS2, Sh]. If this is the case, then there is a mistake in their announcement, because they claim that $T_0([id_X])$ is the singular Todd class $td_*(X)$ for any singular $X$. But this is not (!) true for our class, i.e. $mC_0([id_X]) \neq [\mathcal{O}_X] \in G_0(X)$ for some singular spaces $X$.

**Example 2.1.** Let $X$ be a singular curve (i.e. $dimX = 1$) such that $X$ is not maximal (maximal is sometimes also called weakly normal), but the weak normalization $X_{max}$ is smooth. Then the canonical projection $\pi : X' := X_{max} \to X$ is not an isomorphism, but nevertheless a topological homeomorphism. By 'additivity' one gets

$$\pi_*([id_{X'}]) = [id_X] \in K_0(var/X) \quad \text{, so that}$$

$$T_0(X) = \pi_* T_0(X') = \pi_* td_*(X') = td_* \pi_*([\mathcal{O}_{X'}]) \,.$$

But by assumption $\pi_*([\mathcal{O}_{X'}]) = [\mathcal{O}_X] + n \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{pt}]$ with $n > 0$ so that

$$T_0(X) = td_*(X) + n \cdot [pt] \neq td_*(X) \in H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \,.$$

One gets similar examples in any dimension by taking the product with a projective space.

Taking a complete singular curve $X$ over $k = \mathbb{C}$ such that the normalization $\pi : X' := X_{nor} \to X$ is not a topological homeomorphism, one gets in the same way examples of singular $X$ with $T_1(X) \neq L_*(X)$. Note that the normalization map $\pi$ is a small resolution of singularities so that $\pi_*(\mathcal{IC}_{X'}) = \mathcal{IC}_X$. So to distinguish between these characteristic classes, we call (for later reasons) $T_0(X)$ the Hodge-Todd class and $T_1(X)$ the Hodge L-class of $X$.

**Example 2.2.** Assume $k = \mathbb{C}$ and that the complex algebraic variety $X$ has at most 'Du Bois singularities' in the sense of M.Saito [Sai5]. For example $X$ has only 'rational singularities', e.g. $X$ is a toric variety. Then $mC_0([id_X]) = [\mathcal{O}_X] \in G_0(X)$ and therefore $T_0(X) = td_*(X)$ (compare with the end of section 4).

Using the 'additivity' in $K_0(var/X)$ and the natural transformation $T_y$, one gets similar additivity properties of the Todd class $td_*(X) = T_0(X)$ for $X$ smooth (or with a most 'Du Bois singularities'), which seem to be new and do not follow directly from the original definition.

**Example 2.3.**

1. By the gHRR-theorem (which we recover in the algebraic context in the next section), we have $T_y([\mathbb{P}^1 \to pt]) = \chi_y(\mathbb{P}^1) = 1 - y$ so that
$$\chi_y(\mathbb{A}^1) = -y \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_y(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1 - y + \cdots + (-y)^n$$
by 'additivity' and 'multiplicativity' for exterior products.
2. $T_y$ becomes multiplicative in Zariski locally trivial bundles, e.g. if $E \to X$ is an algebraic vector bundle of rank $r+1$, then the corresponding projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E) \to X$ is Zariski locally trivial so that
$$T_y([\mathbb{P}(E) \to X]) = T_y([id_X]) \cdot (1 - y + \cdots + (-y)^r) \in H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]\,.$$
3. Let $\pi : X' \to X$ be the blow-up of an algebraic variety $X$ along an algebraic subvariety $Y$ such that the inclusion $Y \to X$ is a regular embedding of pure codimension $r+1$ (e.g. $X$ and $Y$ are smooth). Then $\pi$ is an isomorphism over $X \backslash Y$ and a projective bundle over $Y$ corresponding to the normal bundle of $Y$ in $X$ of rank $r+1$. So by 'additivity' one gets
$$T_y([X' \to X]) = T_y([id_X]) + T_y([Y \to X]) \cdot (-y + \cdots + (-y)^r) \in H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y].$$
In particular $T_0([X' \to X]) = T_0([id_X])$, which is a homology class version of the birational invariance of the arithmetic genus $\chi_0$. More generally, by pushing down to a point we get for $X$ complete the blow-up formula
$$\chi_y(X') = \chi_y(X) + \chi_y(Y) \cdot (-y + \cdots + (-y)^r) \in \mathbb{Q}[y]\,. \tag{2}$$

Note that in case 3. one also has $\pi_* td_*(X') = td_*(X)$, by functoriality of $td_*$ and the relation $[R\pi_*\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X] = [\mathcal{O}_X]$ for such a blow-up. Using the 'weak factorization theorem' [AKMW, W], we get the following result, which seems to be new and was motivated by a corresponding study of Aluffi about Chern classes [A].

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be a resolution of singularities. Then the class*
$$\pi_*(Td^*(TY) \cap [Y]) = \pi_* T_0([id_Y]) \in H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$
*is independent of $Y$.*

*Proof.* Let $\pi : Y \to X$ and $\pi' : Y' \to X$ be two resolution of singularities, together with a resolution of singularities of the fiber-product $Z \to Y \times_X Y'$ so that we get induced birational morphisms $p : Z \to Y$ and $p' : Z \to Y'$. By the 'weak factorization theorem' [AKMW, W], this map $p$ (or $p'$) can be decomposed as a finite sequence of projections from smooth spaces lying over $Y$ (or $Y'$), which are obtained by blowing up or blowing down along smooth centers. By the birational invariance above we get $\pi_* td_*(Z) = td_*(X)$ (or $\pi'_* td_*(Z) = td_*(X')$), from which the claim follows. □

*Remark* 2.1. In the framework of motivic integration [DL, Lo], it is natural to localize the $K_0(var/pt)$-module $K_0(var/X)$ with respect to the class $\mathbb{L} := [\mathbb{A}^1 \to pt]$ of the affine line. Here the module structure comes from pullback along a constant map $X \to pt$. Then $T_y$ induces a a similar transformation

$$T_y : M(var/X) := K_0(var/X)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, y^{-1}] \, ,$$

since $\chi_y(\mathbb{A}^1) = -y \in H_*(pt) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, y^{-1}] = \mathbb{Q}[y, y^{-1}]$ is invertible. Note that the original transformation $T_y$ is a ring homomorphism on a point space $pt$, and a corresponding module homomorphism over any space $X$, by the multiplicativity with respect to exterior products. Similarly, $T_y$ extends to a transformation

$$T_y : \widehat{M}(var/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y][[y^{-1}]]$$

of the corresponding completions with respect to $'dim \to -\infty'$. Here

$$dim([X' \to X]\mathbb{L}^{-n}) := dim(X') - n$$

and the completion $\widehat{M}(var/X)$ also comes up in the context of motivic integration.

In the absolute case $X = pt$ is was introduced by Kontsevich in his study of the 'arc-space' $\mathcal{L}(X)$ of $X$ as the value group of a 'motivic measure' $\tilde{\mu}$ on a suitable Boolean algebra of subsets of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. This allows one (compare [DL, 4.4]) to introduce new invariants for $X$ pure-dimensional, but maybe singular, as the value of

$$\tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{L}(X)) \in \widehat{M}(var/pt) \to R$$

under a suitable homomorphism to a ring $R$. Instead of $\tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{L}(X))$, one can also use related 'motivic integrals' over $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

By our work, one can now introduce a similar characteristic class as

$$\tilde{\mu}/X \; (\mathcal{L}(X)) \in \widehat{M}(var/X) \xrightarrow{T_y} H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y][[y^{-1}]]$$

by using a 'relative motivic measure' $\tilde{\mu}/X$ with values in $\widehat{M}(var/X)$ [Lo, sec.4], and the same for 'motivic integrals' (compare also with [Y6]).

# 3 Motivic Chern classes for singular varieties

In this section we explain that our transformation $T_y$ is induced by the generalized singular Riemann-Roch theorem of Yokura [Y4] from another transformation $mC_*$, which we call the 'motivic Chern class transformation'.

**Theorem 3.1.** *There exists a unique group homomorphism* $mC_*$ *commuting with pushdown for proper maps:*

$$mC_* : K_0(var/X) \to G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \,,$$

*satisfying the normalization condition*

$$mC_*([id_X]) = \sum_{i=0}^{dim X} [\Lambda^i T^* X] \cdot y^i = \lambda_y([T^*X]) \cap [\mathcal{O}_X]$$

*for* $X$ *smooth and pure-dimensional. Here* $\lambda_y : K^0(X) \to K^0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[[y]]$ *is the total* $\lambda$*-class transformation on the Grothendieck* $K^0(X)$ *of coherent locally free sheaves on* $X$*, with* $\cap[\mathcal{O}_X] : K^0(X) \to G_0(X)$ *induced by* $\otimes \mathcal{O}_X$*, which is an isomorphism for* $X$ *smooth.*

**Corollary 3.1.**

1. $mC_*$ *is filtration preserving, if* $G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ *has the induced filtration coming from the grading with* $y$ *of degree one. Moreover* $mC_*$ *maps to* $G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y]$*, and by projecting further on the component in degree* $0$*, we get the transformation* $mC_0$ *of theorem 2.2.*
2. $mC_*$ *commutes with exterior products.*
3. *One has the following Verdier Riemann-Roch formula for* $f : X' \to X$ *a smooth morphism (of constant relative dimension):*

$$\lambda_y(T^*_f) \cap f^* mC_*([Z \to X]) = mC_* f^*([Z \to X]) \,.$$

*Here* $f^* : G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \to G_0(X') \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ *is induced from the corresponding pullback of Grothendieck groups by linear extension over* $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$*. In particular* $mC_*$ *commutes with pullback under étale morphisms.* □

*Remark* 3.1. The remarks of remark 2.1 apply in the same way also to the motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$.

Let us now explain an important reformulation by Yokura [Y4] of the singular Riemann-Roch theorem of Baum-Fulton-MacPherson (for Borel-Moore homology) and Fulton (for Chow groups). Consider the group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} td_{(q)} &: G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}] \,, \\ td_{(q)}([\mathcal{F}]) &:= \sum_{i=0}^{<\infty} td_i([\mathcal{F}]) \cdot q^{-i} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with $td_i$ the degree $i$ component of the transformation $td_*$, which is linearly extended over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. Since $td_*$ is degree preserving, this new transformation also commutes with proper pushdown (which again is defined by linear extension over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$). Finally make the substitution $q = 1 + y$ to get the natural transformation

$$td_{(1+y)} : G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, y^{-1}] \tag{gBFM}$$

commuting with proper pushdown. By [Y3] we have the important

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume $X$ is smooth and pure $d$-dimensional. Then*

$$td_{(1+y)}\left(\lambda_y(T^*X)\right) = \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX) \cap [X] \in H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y]\,.$$

Let us sketch the simple proof (since Yokura [Y3, lem.(2.3.7)] uses a different notation, and works only in (Borel-Moore) homology for compact spaces over $\mathbb{C}$). Since $X$ is smooth, the singular Riemann-Roch theorem reduces to the classical Grothendieck Riemann-Roch theorem, i.e.

$$td_*(E) = ch^*(E) \cup Td^*(TX) \cap [X] : G_0(X) = K^0(X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\,, \tag{4}$$

with $ch^* : K^0(X) \to H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the Chern character. So

$$td_i(E) = \left(ch^*(E) \cup Td^*(TX)\right)^{d-i} \cap [X]$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{<\infty} td_i\left(\lambda_y(T^*X)\right) \cdot (1+y)^{-i} = (1+y)^{-d} \cdot ch_{(1+y)}\left(\lambda_y(T^*X)\right) Td_{(1+y)}(TX) \cap [X]\,.$$

If $\alpha_i$ are the Chern roots of $TX$, then the claim follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+y)^{-d} \cdot ch_{(1+y)}\left(\lambda_y(T^*X)\right) Td_{(1+y)}(TX) \\
&= (1+y)^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(1 + ye^{-\alpha_i(1+y)}\right) \cdot \frac{\alpha_i(1+y)}{1 - e^{-\alpha_i(1+y)}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\alpha_i(1+y)}{1 - e^{-\alpha_i(1+y)}} - \alpha_i y\right) = \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX)\,. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The natural transformation $T_y$ of theorem 2.1 is given as the composition*

$$T_y := td_{(1+y)} \circ mC_* : K_0(var/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y] \subset H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, y^{-1}]\,.$$

*So the motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$ is a 'natural lift' of the $T_y$ transformation.* $\square$

*Remark* 3.2. Maybe here is the right place to explain our notion 'motivic Chern class transformation' for $mC_*$ (and $mC'_*$). Of course the notion 'motivic (dual) $\lambda$-class transformation' would also be possible by the corresponding normalization condition for $X$ smooth. But we understand our transformations $T_y, mC_*$ and $mC'_*$ as natural 'motivic liftings' of the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson transformation $c_*$ by the following commutative diagram, with $T_{-1}([id_X]) = c_*(X)$ for any singular $X$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(var/X) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "mC'_*"] & K_0(var/X) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "mC_*"] & K_0(var/X) \arrow[r, "e"] \arrow[d, "T_y"] & F(X) \arrow[d, "c_*"] \\
\Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \arrow[r, "\omega_*"] & G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \arrow[r, "td_{(1+y)}"] & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y, y^{-1}] \arrow[r, "y=-1"] & H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Assume $X$ is smooth, pure $d$-dimensional and complete so that the constant map $f: X \to pt$ is proper. Then (the proof of) lemma 3.1, together with the multiplicativity of the Chern character and the functoriality of $td_{(1+y)}$ imply the classical (gHRR):

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_y(X, E) &= \chi\left(X, E \otimes \lambda_y(T^*X)\right) \\
&= td_{(1+y)} f_! \left(E \otimes \lambda_y(T^*X)\right) = f_!\; td_{(1+y)} \left(E \otimes \lambda_y(T^*X)\right) \\
&= \int_X (1+y)^{-d} \cdot ch_{(1+y)} \left(E \otimes \lambda_y(T^*X)\right) Td_{(1+y)}(TX) \cap [X] \\
&= \int_X (1+y)^{-d} \cdot ch_{(1+y)} \left(\lambda_y(T^*X)\right) Td_{(1+y)}(TX) \cdot ch_{(1+y)}(E) \cap [X] \\
&= \int_X \widetilde{td_{(y)}}(TX) \cdot ch_{(1+y)}(E) \cap [X] \,.
\end{aligned}$$

## 4 Hodge theoretic definition of motivic Chern classes

In this section we construct the motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$ and therefore by the discussion before also the transformation $T_y$ with the help of some fundamental results from the theory of algebraic mixed Hodge modules due to M.Saito [Sai1]-[Sai6]. Since this is a very complicated theory, we reduce our construction to a few formal properties, together with a simple and instructive explicite calculation for the normalization condition, all of which are contained in the work of M.Saito.

Let us assume that our base field is $k = \mathbb{C}$. To motivate the following constructions, let us first recall the definition of the Hodge characteristic transformation $Hc : K_0(var/pt) \to \mathbb{Z}[u, v]$ . By the now classical theory of Deligne [De1, De2, Sr], the cohomology groups $V = H^i_c(X^{an}, \mathbb{Q})$ of a complex algebraic variety have a canonical functorial mixed Hodge structure, which includes in particular the following data on the finite dimensional rational vector space $V$:

- A finite increasing (weight) filtration $W$ of $V$ with $W_i = \{0\}$ for $i << 0$ and $W_i = V$ for $i >> 0$.
- A finite decreasing (Hodge) filtration $F$ of $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with $F^p = V$ for $p << 0$ and $F^p = \{0\}$ for $p >> 0$.

These filtrations have to satisfy some additional properties, which imply that the transformation of taking suitable graded vector spaces $gr^W_i, gr^p_F$ and $gr^p_F gr^W_i$ for $i, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ induce corresponding transformations on the Grothendieck group $K^0(MHS)$ of the abelian category of (rational) mixed Hodge structures, i.e. morphism of mixed Hodge structures are 'strictly stable' with respect to the filtrations $F$ and $W$. Assume $Y$ is a closed algebraic subset of $X$ with open complement $U := X\backslash Y$. Then the maps in the long exact cohomology sequence

$$\cdots \to H^i_c(U^{an}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^i_c(X^{an}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^i_c(Y^{an}, \mathbb{Q}) \to \cdots \tag{5}$$

are morphisms of mixed Hodge structures so that the function

$$X \mapsto Hc(X) := \sum_{i,p,q=0}^{<\infty} (-1)^i(-1)^{p+q} \cdot dim_C \left( gr^p_F gr^W_{p+q} H^i_c(X^{an}, \mathbb{C}) \right) u^p v^q \tag{6}$$

satisfies the 'additivity' property (add). In this way we get the Hodge characteristic (compare [Sr]):

$$Hc : K_0(var/pt) \to \mathbb{Z}[u, v],\ [X \to pt] \mapsto Hc(X).$$

Note that most references do not include the sign-factor $(-1)^{p+q}$ in their definition of the Hodge characteristic! Our sign convention fits better with the following normalization for $X$ smooth and complete. Specializing further, one gets also the (compare [Lo])

- Hodge filtration characteristic corresponding to $(u, v) = (y, -1)$:

$$Hfc(X) := \sum_{i,p=0}^{<\infty} (-1)^i dim_C \left( gr^p_F H^i_c(X^{an}, \mathbb{C}) \right) (-y)^p .$$

- Weight filtration characteristic corresponding to $(u, v) = (w, w)$:

$$wc(X) := \sum_{i,q=0}^{<\infty} (-1)^i \cdot dim_C \left( gr^W_q H^i_c(X^{an}, \mathbb{Q}) \right) (-w)^q .$$

- Euler characteristic (with compact support) corresponding to $(u,v) = (-1,-1)$:
$$e(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{<\infty} (-1)^i \cdot dim_C(H^i_c(X^{an},\mathbb{Q})) \,.$$

So these specializations fit into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}[u,v] \arrow[r, "u=y", "v=-1"'] \arrow[d, "u=w"', "v=w"] & \mathbb{Z}[y] \arrow[d, "y=-1"] \\
\mathbb{Z}[w] \arrow[r, "w=-1"'] & \mathbb{Z} \,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Finally, this classical Hodge theory [De1, De2, Sr] implies for $X$ smooth complete (of pure dimension $d$) the 'purity result' $gr^W_{p+q}H^i(X^{an},\mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $p+q \neq i$, together with

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{p,q}(X) :=& \sum_{i=0}^{<\infty} (-1)^i(-1)^{p+q} \cdot dim_C\left(gr^p_F gr^W_{p+q} H^i_c(X^{an},\mathbb{C})\right) \\
=& dim_C\left(gr^p_F H^{p+q}(X^{an},\mathbb{C})\right) = dim_C H^q(X^{an},\Lambda^p T^* X^{an}) \\
=& dim_C H^q(X,\Lambda^p T^* X) \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the last two equalities follow from GAGA, the degeneration of the 'Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence'

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X^{an},\Lambda^p T^* X^{an}) \to H^{p+q}(X^{an},\Lambda^\bullet T^* X^{an})$$

at $E_1$ and the 'holomorphic Poincaré lemma'

$$H^{p+q}(X^{an},\mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{p+q}(X^{an},\Lambda^\bullet T^* X^{an}) \,.$$

So the holomorphic de Rham complex $DR(\mathcal{O}_{X^{an}}) := [\Lambda^\bullet T^* X^{an}]$ (with $\mathcal{O}_{X^{an}}$ in degree zero) is a resolution of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{C}$ on $X^{an}$, and the 'stupid decreasing filtration'

$$F^p DR(\mathcal{O}_{X^{an}}) := [0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to \Lambda^p T^* X^{an} \to \cdots \Lambda^d T^* X^{an}] \tag{7}$$

induces the Hodge filtration $F$ on $H^*(X^{an},\mathbb{C})$.

In particular $T_y([X \to pt]) = Hfc([X \to pt])$ for $X$ smooth and complete by (gHRR). But these classes $[X \to pt]$ generate $K_0(var/pt)$ so that we get the following Hodge theoretic description for any $X$:

$$\chi_y(X) = T_y([X \to pt]) = \sum_{i,p=0}^{<\infty} (-1)^i dim_C\left(gr^p_F H^i_c(X^{an},\mathbb{C})\right)(-y)^p \,. \tag{8}$$

And exactly this description can be generalized to the context of relative Grothendieck groups $K_0(var/X)$ using the machinery of mixed Hodge modules of M.Saito. But before we explain this, let us point out another remark. All our characteristics above are indeed ring homomorphisms on $K_0(var/pt)$, because this is the case for $\chi_y = Hfc$ (for example by remark 2.1). Such ring homomorphism are called 'characteristics' [DL, Lo] or sometimes also 'motivic measures' [LL], and there are much more examples known. In this sense our transformation $T_y$ is certainly a 'motivic characteristic class' since it is a homology class version of the motivic characteristic $Hfc$, just like the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson (class) transformation $c_*$ is the homology class version of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $e$.

*Remark* 4.1. Our 'motivic characteristic classes' are only group homomorphisms, because the corresponding 'homology theories' are only groups for a singular space $X$. But they commute with exterior products, so that they are ring homomorphisms for $X = pt$. But this is in general no longer true for $X$ smooth, even if one has then a corresponding ring structure on the (co)homology. This is closely related to a corresponding Verdier Riemann-Roch formula for the diagonal embedding $d : X \to X \times X$ (compare [Sch2, Y5]).

One can ask if also the other characteristics $Hc$ or $wc$ can be 'lifted up' to such a homology class transformation. But here the answer will be no (compare [Jo])!

**Example 4.1.** Assume that there is a functorial transformation

$$T_{u,v} : K_0(var/X) \to H_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[u, v]$$

commuting with proper pushdown, and also with pullback $f^*$ for a finite smooth morphism $f : X' \to X$ between smooth varieties, such that for $X = pt$ we get back the Hodge characteristic $Hc$. Let $d$ be the degree of such a covering map $f$. Then it follows (with $c : X \to pt$ a constant map):

$$Hc(X') = T_{u,v}([X' \to pt]) = T_{u,v}(c_*f_*f^*[id_X]) = c_*f_*f^*T_{u,v}([id_X])$$

$$= c_*(d \cdot T_{u,v}([id_X])) = d \cdot c_*T_{u,v}([id_X]) = d \cdot Hc(X) = Hc(d \cdot [id_{pt}]) \cdot Hc(X)\,.$$

So (as usual), the transformation $Hc$ has then to be multiplicative in such finite coverings. But this is not the case. Let $X' \to X$ be such a finite covering of degree $d > 1$ over an elliptic curve $X$. Then $X'$ is also an elliptic curve so that

$$Hc(X) = Hc(X') = (1 + u)(1 + v) \neq 0\,.$$

Note that the same argument applies also to the weight characteristic, with $wc(X) = wc(X') = (1 + w)^2 \neq 0$. Of course, everything is ok in the context of $e$ and $Hfc$, since both are zero for an elliptic curve!

Let us now formulate those results about algebraic mixed Hodge modules, which we need for our application to the motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$. All these results are contained in the deep and long work of M.Saito.

Since most readers will not be familiar with this theory, we present them in an axiomatic way pointing out the similarities to constructible functions $F(X)$ and motivic Grothendieck groups $K_0(var/X)$.

Let $k$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. Then we work in the category of reduced seperated schemes of finite type over $spec(k)$, which we also call 'spaces' or 'varieties', with $pt = spec(k)$.

MHM1: To such a space $X$ one can associate an abelian category of (algebraic) mixed Hodge modules $MHM(X/k)$, together with a functorial pullback $f^*$ and pushdown $f_!$ on the level of derived categories $D^bMHM(X/k)$ for any (not necessarily proper) map [Sai2, sec.4] (and compare also with [Sai5, Sai6]). These transformations are functors of triangulated categories.

MHM2 Let $i : Y \to X$ be the inclusion of a closed subspace, with open complement $j : U := X\backslash Y \to X$. Then one has for $M \in D^bMHM(X/k)$ a distinguished triangle ([Sai2, (eq.(4.4.1),p.321])

$$j_!j^*M \to M \to i_!i^*M \overset{[1]}{\to} \ .$$

MHM3: For all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has a functor of triangulated categories

$$gr^F_p DR : D^bMHM(X/k) \to D^b_{coh}(X)$$

commuting with proper pushdown (compare with [Sai1, sec.2.3], [Sai2, p.273], [Sai5, eq.(1.3.4),p.9, prop.2.8] and also with [Sai4, Sai5]). Here $D^b_{coh}(X)$ is the bounded derived category of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with coherent cohomology sheaves. Moreover, $gr^F_p DR(M) = 0$ for almost all $p$ and $M \in D^bMHM(X/k)$ fixed ([Sai1, prop.2.2.10, eq.(2.2.10.5)] and [Sai3, lem.1.14]).

MHM4: There is a distinguished element $\mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \in MHM(pt/k)$ such that

$$gr^F_{-p}DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X) \simeq \Lambda^p T^*X[-p] \in D^b_{coh}(X)$$

for $X$ smooth and pure dimensional ([Sai2]). Here $\mathbb{Q}^H_X := c^*\mathbb{Q}^H_{pt}$ for $c : X \to pt$ a constant map, with $\mathbb{Q}^H_{pt}$ viewed as a complex concentrated in degree zero.

Since the above transformations are functors of triangulated categories, they induce functors on the level of Grothendieck groups (of triangulated categories) which we denote by the same name. By general nonsense one gets for these Grothendieck groups isomorphisms

$$K_0(D^bMHM(X/k)) \simeq K_0(MHM(X/k)) \quad \text{and} \quad K_0(D^b_{coh}(X)) \simeq G_0(X)$$

by associating to a complex its alternating sum of cohomology objects.

As explained later on, $K_0(MHM(X/k))$ plays the role of 'Hodge constructible functions' with the class of $\mathbb{Q}^H_X$ as 'constant Hodge function on $X$'. By (MHM3) we get a group homomorphism commuting with proper pushdown:

$$\begin{aligned} gr^F_{-*}DR : K_0(MHM(X/k)) \to G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]\,; \\ [M] \mapsto \sum_p \, [gr^F_{-p}DR(M)] \cdot (-y)^p\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

And as for the map $e$ from motivic Grothendieck groups to constructible functions, we get a group homomorphism commuting with pushdown (compare also with [Lo, sec.4]):

$$mH : K_0(var/X) \to K_0(MHM(X/k))\,,\; [f : X' \to X] \mapsto [f_! \mathbb{Q}^H_{X'}]\,. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, the 'additivity relation' (add) follows from (MHM2) together with the functoriality of pushdown and pullback (MHM1): For $i : Y \to X'$ the inclusion of a closed subspace, with open complement $j : U \to X'$, the distinguished triangle

$$j_! j^* c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \to c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \to i_! i^* c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \overset{[1]}{\to}$$

induces under $f_!$ the distinguished triangle (with $f : X' \to X$ as before)

$$f_! j_! j^* c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \to f_! c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \to f_! i_! i^* c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \overset{[1]}{\to}\,.$$

It translates in the corresponding Grothendieck group into the relation

$$[f_! c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt}] = [f_! j_! j^* c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt}] + [f_! i_! i^* c^* \mathbb{Q}^H_{pt}]\,.$$

This finally is nothing else than the asked additivity property

$$[f_! \mathbb{Q}^H_{X'}] = [(f \circ j)_! \mathbb{Q}^H_U] + [(f \circ i)_! \mathbb{Q}^H_Y] \in K_0(D^b MHM(X/k))\,.$$

Moreover, $mH$ commutes with pushdown for a map $f : X' \to X$ again by functoriality:

$$\begin{aligned} mH(f_![g : Y \to X']) &= mH([f \circ g : Y \to X]) = [(f \circ g)_! \mathbb{Q}^H_Y] \\ &= [f_! g_! \mathbb{Q}^H_Y] = f_![g_! \mathbb{Q}^H_Y] = f_! mH([g : Y \to X])\,. \end{aligned}$$

By (MHM4) we get for $X$ smooth and pure dimensional:

$$gr^F_{-*}DR \circ mH([id_X]) = \sum_{i=0}^{dimX} \, [\Lambda^i T^* X] \cdot y^i \in G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]\,.$$

**Corollary 4.1.** *The motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$ of theorem 3.1 is given as the composition $mC_* = gr^F_{-*}DR \circ mH$.* $\square$

*Remark* 4.2. The definition of $MHM(X/k)$ and therefore also the transformations $mH$ and $gr^F_{-*}DR$ depend a priory on the embedding $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. By the uniqueness statement of Theorem 2.1 and 3.1 this is not the case for the transformations $T_y$ and $mC_*$, i.e. they are independent of the choice of the embedding $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ used in their definition in Corollary 3.2 and 4.1.

Let us now explain a little bit of the definition of the abelian category $MHM(X/k)$ of algebraic mixed Hodge modules on $X/k$. Its objects are special tuples $((M,F),K,W)$, which for $X$ smooth are given by

- $(M,F)$ an algebraic holonomic filtered D-module $M$ on $X$ with an exhaustive, bounded from below and increasing (Hodge) filtration $F$ by algebraic $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules such that $gr^F_* M$ is a coherent $gr^F_* \mathcal{D}_X$-module. In particular, the filtration $F$ is finite, which will imply the last claim of (MHM3). Here the filtration $F$ on the sheaf of algebraic differential operators $\mathcal{D}_X$ on $X$ is the order filtration, and one can work either with left or right D-modules. For singular $X$ one works with suitable local embeddings into manifolds and corresponding filtered D-modules with support on $X$ (compare [Sai1, Sai2, Sai4]).
- $K \in D^b_{const}(X(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{Q})$ is an algebraically constructible complex of sheaves of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces (with finite dimensional stalks, compare for example with [Sch1]) on the associated analytic space $X(\mathbb{C})^{an}$ corresponding to the induced algebraic variety $X(\mathbb{C}) := X \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, which is perverse with respect to the middle perversity $t$-structure. $F$ is called the underlying rational sheaf complex.
- In addition one fixes a quasi-isomorphism $\alpha$ between $K(\mathbb{C}) := K \otimes_Q \mathbb{C}$ and the holomorphic de Rham complex $DR(M(\mathbb{C})^{an})$ associated to the induced $\mathcal{D}_{X(C)}$-module $M(\mathbb{C}) := M \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$.
- $W$ is finally a finite increasing (weight) filtration of $(M,F)$ and $K$, compatible in the obvious sense with the quasi-isomorphism $\alpha$ above.

These data have to satisfy a long list of properties which we do not recall here (since it is not important for us). In particular, one gets the equivalence [Sai2, eq.(4.2.12),p.319])

$$\begin{aligned} MHM(pt/\mathbb{C}) &\simeq \{\text{(graded) polarizable mixed } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Hodge structures}\} \\ F^{-p} &\leftrightarrow F_p \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

between the category of algebraic mixed Hodge modules on $pt = spec(\mathbb{C})$, and the category of (graded) polarizable mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures. Of course, one has to switch the increasing $D$-module filtration $F^p$ into an decreasing Hodge filtration by $F^{-p} \leftrightarrow F_p$ so that $gr^F_{-p} \simeq gr^p_F$. For elements in $MHM(pt/k)$, the corresponding Hodge filtration is already defined over $k$ (compare [Sai6, sec.1.3]).

The distinguished element $\mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} \in MHM(pt/k)$ of (MHM4) is given by

$$\mathbb{Q}^H_{pt} := ((k,F),\mathbb{Q},W) \quad \text{with } gr^F_i = 0 = gr^W_i \text{ for } i \neq 0 \tag{12}$$

and $\alpha : k \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ the obvious isomorphism (compare [Sai6, sec.1.3]). The functorial pullback and pushdown of (MHM1) corresponds under the forget functor ([Sai2, thm.0.1,p.222])

$$rat : D^b MHM(X/k) \to D^b_{constr}(X(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{Q}) \,, ((M,F),K,W) \mapsto K \tag{13}$$

to the classical corresponding (derived) functors $f^*$ and $f_!$ on the level of algebraically constructible sheaf complexes, with $rat(\mathbb{Q}^H_X) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{X(C)^{an}}$. So by (11) one should think of an algebraic mixed Hodge module as a kind of '(perverse) constructible Hodge sheaf'! But one has to be very careful with this analogy. $\mathbb{Q}^H_X$ is in general a highly complicated complex in $D^b MHM(X/k)$, which is impossible to calculate explicitely. But if $X$ is smooth and pure $d$-dimensional, then $\mathbb{Q}_{X(C)^{an}}[-d]$ is a perverse sheaf and $\mathbb{Q}^H_X[-d] \in MHM(X/k)$ a single mixed Hodge module (in degree 0), which is explicitly given by ([Sai2, eq.(4.4.2),p.322]):

$$\mathbb{Q}^H_X[-d] \simeq ((\mathcal{O}_X, F), \mathbb{Q}_{X(C)^{an}}[-d], W) \,, \tag{14}$$

with $F$ and $W$ the trivial filtration $gr^F_i = 0 = gr^W_i$ for $i \neq 0$. Here we use for the underlying D-module the description as a left D-module, which maybe is more natural at this point.

The distingished triangle (MHM2) is a 'lift' of the corresponding distinguished triangle for constructible sheaves. Similarly, by taking a constant map $f : X \to pt$ we get by (MHM1) and (11) a functorial (rational) mixed Hodge structure on

$$rat\left(R^i f_! \mathbb{Q}^H_X\right) \simeq H^i_c(X(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{Q}) \,,$$

whose Hodge numbers are easily seen to be the same as those coming from the mixed Hodge structure of Deligne [De1, De2, Sr] (both have the same additivity property so that one only has to compare the case $X$ smooth, which follows from the constructions). In fact, even the Hodge structures are the same by a deep theorem of M.Saito [Sai5, cor.4.3].

Let us finally explain (MHM3) and (MHM4) for the case $X$ smooth and pure $d$-dimensional. The de Rham functor $DR$ factorizes as (compare [Sai1, Sai3, Sai5])

$$DR : D^b MHM(X/k) \to D^b F_{coh}(X, Diff) \to D^b_{const}(X(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{C}) \,, \tag{15}$$

with $D^b F_{coh}(X, Diff)$ the 'bounded derived category of filtered differential complexes' on $X$ with coherent cohomology sheaves. Here the objects are bounded complexes $(L^\bullet, F)$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaves with an increasing (bounded from below) filtration $F$ by such sheaves, whose morphisms are 'differential operators' in a suitable sense. In particular

$$gr^F_p(L^\bullet) \in D^b(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

becomes an $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear complex with coherent cohomology. Moreover, the morphisms of mixed Hodge modules are 'strict' with respect to the Hodge filtration $F$ (and the weight filtration $W$) so that $gr^F_p DR$ induces the corresponding transformation of (MHM3). Finally, $DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X)$ is given by the usual de Rham complex $\Lambda^\bullet T^*X$ with the induced increasing filtration

$$F_p DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X) := [F_p\mathcal{O}_X \to F_{p+1}\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Lambda^1 T^*X \to \cdots \to F_{p+d}\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Lambda^d T^*X], \quad (16)$$

with $F_p\mathcal{O}_X$ in degree zero and $F$ the trivial filtration with $gr^F_i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. If we switch to the corresponding decreasing filtration (with $gr^F_{-p} \simeq gr^p_F$):

$$F^p DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X) := [F^p\mathcal{O}_X \to F^{p-1}\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Lambda^1 T^*X \to \cdots \to F^{p-d}\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \Lambda^d T^*X]\,,$$

then one gets to this complex a natural filtered map form the de Rham complex $DR(\mathcal{O}_X)$ with the stupid filtration $\sigma^p$ as in (7):

$$[0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to \Lambda^p T^*X \to \cdots \Lambda^d T^*X]\,.$$

And one trivially checks that this induces on the associated graded complexes the isomorphism

$$gr^p_\sigma DR(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \Lambda^p T^*X[-p] \simeq gr^p_F DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X) \simeq gr^F_{-p} DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X)\,.$$

In this way one finally also gets (MHM4).

*Remark* 4.3. The use of the transformation $gr^F_p DR$ of (MHM3) in the context of characteristic classes of singular spaces is not new. It was already used by Totaro [To] in his study of the relation between Chern numbers for singular complex varieties and elliptic homology! But he was interested in characteristic numbers and classes invariant under 'small resolution', and not in functoriality as in our paper. So he works with the counterpart $\mathcal{IC}^H_X \in MHM(X/\mathbb{C})$ of the intersection cohomology complex instead of the constant 'Hodge sheaf' $\mathbb{Q}^H_X \in D^bMHM(X/\mathbb{C})$ as used in this paper. He then also applied the singular Riemann-Roch transformation $td_*$ of Baum-Fulton-MacPherson to associate to a singular complex algebraic variety $X$ of dimension $n$ some natural homology classes $\chi^{n-k}_p(X) \in H^{BM}_{2k}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. In our notation, the corresponding total homology class $\chi^{n-*}_p(X) \in H^{BM}_{2*}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is given by evaluating

$$td_{(1+y)} \circ gr^F_{-p} DR(\mathcal{IC}^H_X) \in H^{BM}_{2*}(X,\mathbb{Q})[y,y^{-1}]$$

at $y = 0$. Here it is important to work with the transformation

$$td_{(1+y)} \circ gr^F_{-p} DR : K_0(MHM(X/\mathbb{C})) \to H^{BM}_{2*}(X,\mathbb{Q})[y,y^{-1}]\,.$$

This allows one to use more general coefficients like $\mathcal{IC}^H_X \in MHM(X/\mathbb{C})$, which are in general not in the image of $mH$.

As explained before, it is in general impossible to calculate $gr^F_{-p} DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X)$ explicitely for a singular space $X$. But by the work of M.Saito [Sai5], one has for a complex algebraic variety $X$ of dimension $n$ at least some general informations:

1. $gr^F_{-p}DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X) \simeq 0 \in D^b_{coh}(X)$ for $p < 0$ and $p > n$. Of course, the corresponding vanishing in $G_0(X)$ follows also from Corollary 4.1.
2. Let $X'$ be a resolution of singularities of the union of the $n$-dimensional irreducible components of $X$, with $\pi : X' \to X$ the induced proper map. Then
$$gr^F_{-n}DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X) \simeq \pi_*\Lambda^n T^*X'[-n]\,.$$
Note that $R^i\pi_*\Lambda^n T^*X' = 0$ for $i > 0$ by the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem.
3. $h^i(gr^F_0 DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X)) \simeq 0$ for $i < 0$, and
$$h^0(gr^F_0 DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X)) \simeq \mathcal{O}^{wn}_X\;,$$
with $\mathcal{O}^{wn}_X$ the coherent structure sheaf of the weak normalization $X_{max}$ of $X$ (whose underlying space is identified with $X$). One gets in particular natural morphisms
$$\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}^{wn}_X \to gr^F_0 DR(\mathbb{Q}^H_X)$$
in $D^b_{coh}(X)$. $X$ has by definition 'at most Du Bois singularities', if these morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms. This is by for example the case, if $X$ has at most 'rational singularities' ([Sai5, thm.5.4]), i.e. $X$ is normal and $R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'} = 0$ for $i > 0$ for some (and then for any) resolution of singularities $\pi : X' \to X$.

# 5 Motivic Chern classes in an algebraic cobordism theory

We continue to work on the category of reduced seperated schemes of finite type over a base field $k$ embeddable into $\mathbb{C}$. Since we want to use some results from Levine and Morel [LM, Loe] about their algebraic cobordism theory $\Omega_*$, we use the convention of that paper that all smooth morphisms of this section are assumed to be quasi-projective! In particular, a smooth variety is assumed to be quasi-projective.

Let $M^+_*(X)$ be the free abelian group of isomorphism classes of projective morphism $f : X' \to X$ with $X'$ smooth and pure-dimensional, graded by the dimension of $X'$. By Chow's lemma and resolution of singularities one gets a group epimorphism
$$v : M^+_*(X) \to K_0(var/X)$$
by associating to such an $f$ its class $[f : X' \to X]$ in the Grothendieck group of algebraic varieties over $X$. By a deep theorem of Bittner [Bi] and Looijenga (relying on the weak factorization theorem [AKMW, W]), one gets $K_0(var/X)$ form $M^+_*(X)$ by imposing the 'blow-up relation'
$$[\emptyset \to X] = 0 \quad\text{and}\quad [Bl_Y X' \to X] - [E \to X] = [X' \to X] - [Y \to X]\,, \qquad \text{(bl)}$$

with $X' \to X$ as before and $Y \subset X'$ a closed pure-dimensional smooth subspace of $X'$. Here $Bl_Y(X')$ is the blow-up of $X'$ along $Y$ with exceptional divisor $E$. These are then also smooth pure-dimensional and the induced maps to $X$ are projective, so that it makes sense to impose the relation (bl) on $M_*^+(X)$. Of course it is clear that the relation (bl) holds in $K_0(var/X)$, since $Bl_Y X' \backslash E$ is isomorphic to $X' \backslash Y$. Note that this relation (bl) is not comparable with the graduation of $M_*^+(X)$, but with the corresponding filtration so that $v$ is a filtered map.

One also gets the algebraic cobordism group $\Omega_*(X)$ of $X$ out of $M_*^+(X)$ by imposing other kinds of relations [LM, Loe] in such a way that

$$co : M_*^+(X) \to \Omega_*(X)$$

is a graded group epimorphism (compare [LM, lem.4.17,p.45]) and the theory $\Omega_*$ becomes an universal oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory in the sense of Levine-Morel. Here an oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory $A_*$ is given by a transformation $X \mapsto A_*(X)$ with $A_*(X)$ a commutative graded group such that one has:

- $A_*$ is additive in the sense that it maps finite disjoint unions isomorphic into finite products.

- $A_*$ is covariant functorial with a pushdown $f_*$ for $f$ projective, and contravariant functorial with a pullback $f^*$ for $f$ smooth of constant relative dimension $d$. $F_*$ is degree preserving whereas $f^*$ is homogeneous of degree $d$, i.e. maps $A_i(\cdot)$ to $A_{i+d}(\cdot)$.

- These transformations satisfy (for such maps) the projection and base-change formula as in the context of constructible functions $F(X)$ or of $K_0(var/X)$.

- One has an associative and commutative exterior product

  $$A_i(X) \times A_j(X') \to A_{i+j}(X \times X')$$

  with a unit $1_{pt} \in A_0(pt)$. Moreover, projective pushdown and smooth pullback commute with exterior products:

  $$(f \times f')_* = f_* \times f'_* \quad \text{and} \quad (f \times f')^* = f^* \times f'^* \,.$$

  By these exterior products, $A_*(pt)$ becomes a commutative ring and $A_*(X)$ a $A_*(pt)$-module such that $f_*$ and $f^*$ are $A_*(pt)$-linear.

- Finally one has for a line bundle $L$ over $X$ a first Chern class operation

  $$\tilde{c}_1(L) : A_i(X) \to A_{i-1}(X)$$

with suitable properties, which we do not need here in full generality. In particular, the first Chern class is nilpotent, depends only on the isomorphism class of the line bundle, is contravariant functorial and satisfies the projective bundle theorem

$$A_*(\mathbb{P}(E)) = \sum_{i=0}^{rk\ E-1} \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^i \, A_{*+i-rk\ E}(X) \tag{PB}$$

for $E \to X$ a vector bundle. Here $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the canonical quotient line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(E) := Proj(Sym^*\mathcal{E})$, with $\mathcal{E}$ the sheaf of sections of $E$.

A natural transformation of oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theories commutes by definition with these structures. By a theorem of Levine and Morel, algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*$ is the universal example of such a theory [LM, thm.10.11,p.78], i.e. one has a unique natural transformation to any given oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory. Other examples are given by our homology theories $H_*(X)$ (Chow groups or Borel-Moore homology) with the usual first Chern class operations and by $G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$. Here $y$ is of degree one, and projective pushdown or exterior product is defined by linear extension of the corresponding operation for $G_0(X)$. But for the pullback under a smooth morphism $f : X' \to X$ of constant relative dimension $d$ one multiplies in addition with $y^d$ to get a transformation of degree $d$:

$$f^* : G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \to G_0(X') \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \, , \; [\mathcal{F}]y^i \mapsto [f^*\mathcal{F}]y^{i+d} \, .$$

The first Chern class transformation $\tilde{c}_1(L)$ in this example is given by multiplication with $(1 - [\mathcal{L}^*])y^{-1}$.

To each oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory $A_*$ one can associate a formal group law in one variable $(A_*(pt), F_A(u,v))$ [LM, sec.10.4,p.74] given by a power series

$$F_A(u,v) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} a_{i,j} u^i v^j \in A_*(pt)[[u,v]] \quad \text{with } a_{i.j} \in A_{i+j-1}(pt),$$

which describes the first Chern class operations for tensor products of line bundles. In particular one gets an induced graded ring homomorphism

$$\Phi_A : L_* \to A_*(pt)$$

from the universal Lazard ring $L_*$ classifying such formal group laws. One of the main results of Levine-Morel says that $\Phi_\Omega$ is an isomorphism [LM, thm.12.8,p.97]. The formal group law for homology $H_*(X)$ is given by the additive formal group law

$$F_H := F_a : F_a(u,v) = u + v \, , \tag{17}$$

and for $G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ it is given be the multiplicative (periodic) formal group law

$$F_G := F_m : F_m(u, v) = u + v - y^{-1}uv \,. \tag{18}$$

Here 'periodic' stands for the fact that $y^{-1}$ is invertible. Consider the graded ring homomorphism

$$L_* \to \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$$

which classifies the multiplicative (periodic) formal group law (18) on $\mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$. Then one can form the oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory

$$\Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \,,$$

which is the universal such theory with the multiplicative (periodic) formal group law (18) [LM, rem.10.12,p.79]. One gets in particular a natural transformation

$$\omega_* : \Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \to G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \,,$$

which turns out to be an isomorphism for $X$ smooth and pure dimensional [LM, cor.11.11,p.93].

This information is enough to lift our motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$ for a fixed $X$ to a unique group homomorphism

$$mC'_* : K_0(var/X) \to \Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \,,$$

satisfying for a projective morphism $f : X' \to X$ with $X'$ smooth and pure-dimensional the normalization condition

$$mC'_*([f : X' \to X]) = f_*(\omega_*^{-1}(mC_*([id_{X'}])) \in \Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \,. \tag{19}$$

Indeed, this defines $mC'_*$ on $M_*^+(X)$, and it satisfies the blow-up relation (bl) in the description of $K_0(var/X)$ given by Looijenga-Bittner [Bi], because this is first the case in $\Omega_*(X') \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ by the isomorphism $\omega_*$ for smooth pure-dimensional spaces. Note that $\omega_*$ commutes with projective pushdown so that this case follows from the existence of the motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$. Applying the group homomorphism $f_*$ gives us then the relation (bl) also in $\Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$. By functoriality of pushdown it is also clear that $mC'_*$ commutes with projective pushdown! Similarly, corollary 3.1 implies the corresponding properties 1. and 2. also for $mC'_*$.

We now explain a more intrinsic description of the normalization condition for the motivic Cherm class transformation $mC'_*$, which allows us to formulate also the corresponding Verdier Riemann-Roch formula for $mC'_*$. For this we use the description of higher Chern classes in

$$G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \simeq K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$$

for $X$ smooth and pure-dimensional in terms of the $\gamma$-operation [FL, SGA6].

Let us first recall that higher Chern classes for vector bundles are defined in the context of an oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory $A_*$ following the method of Grothendieck by using the projective bundle theorem (PB). For a vector bundle $E$ over $X$ there exist unique homomorphisms

$$\tilde{c}_i(E) : A_*(X) \to A_{*-i}(X) \quad i = 0, \dots, rk\ E\ ,$$

with $\tilde{c}_0(E) = 1$, and satisfying the equation (in the endomorphism ring of $A_*(\mathbb{P}(E))$):

$$\sum_{i=0}^{rk\ E} (-1)^i \cdot \tilde{c}_i(E) \cdot \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{rk\ E-i} = 0\ . \tag{20}$$

Here we omit as usual in (20) the pullback of $\tilde{c}_i(E)$ to $A_*(\mathbb{P}(E))$. By definition

$$\tilde{c}_i(E) := 0 \quad \text{for } i > rk\ E.$$

Note that a transformation of oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theories (like $\omega_*$) is compatible with these higher Chern class operations. Consider the total $\gamma$-class transformation [FL, SGA6]

$$\gamma_y := \lambda_{y/1-y} =: \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i y^i : K^0(X) \to K^0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[[y]]\ .$$

Then one has for a vector bundle $E$ over $X$ the well known relation ([SGA6, eq.(1.13.1),p.379])

$$\sum_{i=0}^{rk\ E} \gamma^i(E^* - rk\ E) \cdot (1 - \mathcal{O}(1)^*)^{rk\ E-i} = 0 \tag{21}$$

in $K_0(\mathbb{P}(E))$. And this implies the following formula for the higher Chern classes of $E$ in the oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory $G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$:

$$\tilde{c}_i(E) = (-1)^i \cdot \gamma^i(E^* - rk\ E) \cdot y^{-i} \tag{22}$$

as a multiplication operator.

*Remark* 5.1. Note that this definition of higher Chern classes fits with the usual definition of higher Chern classes on $K^0(X)$ with values in the graded ring $gr^*_\gamma K^0(X)$ associated to the $\gamma$-filtration on $K^0(X)$ ([FL, SGA6]):

$$gr^i_\gamma \gamma^i(E - rk\ E) =: c_i(E) = (-1)^i c_i(E^*) = gr^i_\gamma(\tilde{c}_i(E)(y=1))\ .$$

Since the normalization condition for our motivic Chern class transformation $mC$ was given in terms of the total $\lambda$-class $\lambda_y T^*X$ of the cotangent bundle, we also have to express this $\lambda$-class in terms of the corresponding $\gamma$-classes and higher Chern classes. Here one can use the relation ([FL, prop.1.1(a),p.48])

$$\sum_{i=0}^{rk\ E} \gamma^{rk\ E-i}(E^* - rk\ E) \cdot (1+y)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{rk\ E} \lambda^{rk\ E-i}(E^*) \cdot y^i\ ,$$

and gets

$$\lambda^i(E^*) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \gamma^j(E^* - rk\ E) \cdot \binom{rk\ E - j}{rk\ E - i} .$$

In terms of higher Chern classes this gives

$$\lambda^i(E^*) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \tilde{c}_j(E) \cdot \binom{rk\ E - j}{rk\ E - i} \cdot (-y)^j .$$

Altogether we get the following reformulation of the normalization condition for our motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$:

$$\begin{aligned} mC_*([id_X]) &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{d} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} \tilde{c}_j(TX) \cdot \binom{d-j}{d-i} \cdot (-y)^j \right) \cdot y^{i-d} \right) \cdot [X] \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d} \left( \sum_{i=j}^{d} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{d-j}{d-i} \cdot y^{i+j-d} \right) \cdot \tilde{c}_j(TX) \right) \cdot [X] \\ &=: \tilde{\lambda}_y(T^*X) \cdot [X] \in G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

for $X$ smooth and pure $d$-dimensional. Here $[X] = k^*1_{pt} = [\mathcal{O}_X]y^d \in G_0(X)y^d$ is the 'fundamental class' of $X$ (with $k : X \to pt$ a constant map) with respect to the oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory $G_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$.

*Remark* 5.2. We see in particular, that the normalization condition for the motivic Chern class transformation $mC_*$ is not (!) of the simple form

$$mC_*([id_X]) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d} \tilde{c}_j(TX) \right) \cdot [X]$$

as it is for the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class transformation $c_*$. We have to weight $\tilde{c}_j(TX)$ $(j = 0, \dots, d)$ by the factor

$$\sum_{i=j}^{d} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{d-j}{d-i} \cdot y^{i+j-d} \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] .$$

This is related to the fact that the formal group law $F_G$ is the multiplicative, and not the additive formal group law. So we have to distinguish between $\lambda$-classes and $\gamma$-classes. Of course, this is not necessary for the usual definition of higher Chern classes on $K^0(X)$ with values in the graded ring $gr^*_\gamma K^0(X)$ associated to the $\gamma$-filtration on $K^0(X)$:

$$c_i(E) = (-1)^i c_i(E^*) = (-1)^i gr^i_\gamma \gamma^i(E^* - rk\ E) = (-1)^i gr^i_\gamma \lambda^i(E^*) .$$

The right hand side of equation (23) makes perfectly sense in

$$\Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$$

and can be used as a definition of the total $\tilde{\lambda}_y$-class of the cotangent bundle (or any other vector bundle with $d := rk\ E$) in such a way that

$$\omega_*(\tilde{\lambda}_y(T^*X) \cdot [X]) = \tilde{\lambda}_y(T^*X) \cdot [X] = \lambda_y([T^*X]) \cap [\mathcal{O}_X] \, .$$

So we finally get the following

**Theorem 5.1.** *There exists a unique group homomorphism $mC'_*$ commuting with pushdown for projective maps:*

$$mC'_* : K_0(var/X) \to \Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \, ,$$

*satisfying the normalization condition*

$$mC'_*([id_X]) = \tilde{\lambda}_y(T^*X) \cdot [X]$$

*for $X$ smooth and pure-dimensional.* □

**Corollary 5.1.**

1. *$mC'_*$ is filtration preserving, if $\Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}_*} \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$ has the filtration coming from its grading.*
2. *$mC'_*$ commutes with exterior products.*
3. *One has the following Verdier Riemann-Roch formula for $f : X' \to X$ a smooth morphism of constant relative dimension:*

   $$\tilde{\lambda}_y(T^*_f) \cdot f^* mC'_*([Z \to X]) = mC'_* f^*([Z \to X]) \, .$$

   *In particular $mC'_*$ commutes with pullback under étale morphisms.* □

# References

[AKMW] Abramovich,D.,Karu,K.,Matsuki,K.,Wlodarczyk,J.: Torification and factorization of birational maps. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15(3), 531-572 (2002)

[A] Aluffi,P.: Chern classes of birational varieties. preprint, arXiv:math.AG/0401167

[BFM] Baum,P.,Fulton,W.,MacPherson,R.: Riemann-Roch and topological K-theory for singular varieties. Acta Math. 143, 155-192 (1979)

[Bi] Bittner,F.: The universal Euler characteristic for varieties of characteristic zero. preprint, arXiv:math.AG/0111062, to appear in Comp. Math.

[BrS] Brasselet,J.P.,Schwartz,M.H.: Sur les classes de Chern d'une ensemble analytique complexe. in: Caractéristice d'Euler-Poincaré. Séminaire E.N.S. 1978-1979. Astérisque 82-83, 93-148 (1981)

[CS1] Cappell,S.E.,Shaneson,J.L.: Stratifiable maps and topological invariants. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4, 521-551 (1991)

[CS2] Cappell,S.E.,Shaneson,J.L.: Genera of algebraic varieties and counting lattice points. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 30, 62-69 (1994)

[De1] Deligne,P.: Théorie des Hodge II. Publ. Math. IHES 40, 5-58 (1971)

[De2] Deligne,P.: Théorie des Hodge III. Publ. Math. IHES 44, 5-78 (1974)

[DL] Denef,J.,Loeser,F.: Geometry of arc spaces of algebraic varieties. Proc. of 3rd ECM, Barcelona, vol.1, Progress in Math. 201, 327-348 (2001)

[Ful] Fulton,W.: Intersection theory. Springer Verlag (1984)

[FL] Fulton,W., Lang,S.: Riemann-Roch Algebra. Springer Verlag (1985)

[FM] Fulton,W.,MacPherson,R.: Categorical framework for the study of singular spaces. Memoirs of the AMS 243 (1981)

[GM] Goresky,M.,MacPherson,R.: Intersection homology theory. Topology 149, 155–162 (1980)

[Hi] Hirzebruch,F.: Topological methods in algebraic geometry. Springer, New York (1966)

[Jo] Joyce,D.: Constructible functions on schemes and stacks. preprint, arXiv:math.AG/0403305

[Ken] Kennedy,G.: MacPherson's Chern classes of singular varieties. Com. Algebra. 9, 2821-2839 (1990)

[Kw] Kwiecinski,M.: Formule de produit pour les classes caractéristique de Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson et homologie d'intersection. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 314, 625-628 (1992)

[KwY] Kwiecinski,M.,Yokura,S.: Product formula for twisted MacPherson Classes. Proc. Japan Acad. 68, 167-171 (1992)

[LL] Larsen,M.,Lunts,V.: Motivic measures and stable birational geometry. Mosc. Math. J. 3, 85-95 (2003)

[LM] Levine,M.,Morel,F.: Algebraic cobordism I. preprint, K-theory/0547

[Loe] Loeser,F.: Corbordisme des variétés algébriques. Séminaire Bourbaki (2002)

[Lo] Looijenga,E.: Motivic measures. Séminaire Bourbaki 874, Astérisque 276, 267-297 (2002)

[M1] MacPherson,R.: Chern classes for singular varietes. Ann. of Math. 100, 423-432 (1974)

[M2] MacPherson,R.: Characteristic Classes for Singular Varieties. Proceedings of the 9-th Brazilian Mathematical Colloquium (Poços de Caldas 1973) Voll.II, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, São Paulo, 321-327 (1977)

[Sai1] Saito,M.: Modules de Hodge polarisables. Publ. RIMS 24, 849-995 (1988)

[Sai2] Saito,M.: Mixed Hodge Modules. Publ. RIMS 26, 221-333 (1990)

[Sai3] Saito,M.: Induced D-modules and differential complexes. Bull. Soc. Math. France. 117, 361-387 (1989)

[Sai4] Saito,M.: D-modules on analytic spaces. Publ. RIMS 27, 291-332 (1991)

[Sai5] Saito,M.: Mixed Hodge complexes on algebraic varieties. Math. ann. 316, 283-331 (2000)

[Sai6] Saito,M.: Arithmetic mixed sheaves. Inv. Math. 144, 533-569 (2001)

[Sch1] Schürmann,J.: Topology of singular spaces and constructible sheaves. Monografie Matematyczne 63 (New Series), Birkhäuser, Basel (2003)

[Sch2] Schürmann,J.: A generalized Verdier-type Riemann-Roch theorem for Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes. preprint, arXiv:math.AG/0202175

[Schwa] Schwartz,M.H.: Classes caractéristiques définies par une stratification d'une variété analytique complexe. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260, 3262–3264 and 3535–3537 (1965)

[SGA6] Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch. Lect. Notes Math. 225. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg (1971)

[Sh] Shaneson,J.L.: Characteristic Classes, Lattice Points, and Euler-MacLaurin Formulae. Proc. of the intern. Congress of Math., Zürich 1994, vol 1, 612-624 (1995)

[Sr] Srinivas,V.: The Hodge characteristic. preprint (2002)

[To] Totaro,B.: Chern numbers for singular varieties and elliptic homology. Ann. Math. 151, 757-791 (2000)

[W] Wlodarczyk,J.: Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem. preprint, arXiv:math.AG/9904076

[Y1] Yokura,S.: On Cappell-Shaneson's homology L-class of singular algebraic varieties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347, 1005-1012 (1995)

[Y2] Yokura,S.: An extension of Baun-Fulton-MacPherson's Riemann-Roch theorem for singular varieties. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 29, 997-1020 (1993)

[Y3] Yokura,S.: A generalized Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem for Hirzrebruch's $\chi_y$-characteristic and $T_y$-characteristic. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 30, 603-610 (1994)

[Y4] Yokura,S.: A singular Riemann-Roch theorem for Hirzebruch characteristics. Banach Center Publ. 44, 257-268 (1998)

[Y5] Yokura,S.: On a Verdier-type Riemann-Roch for Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class. Topology and its Appl. 94, 315-327 (1999)

[Y6] Yokura,S.: Chern classes of proalgebraic varieties and motivic measures. preprint (2003)

Jean-Paul Brasselet
Institute de Mathématiques de Luminy
UPR 9016-CNRS
Campus de Luminy - Case 907
13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
E-mail: jpb@iml.univ-mrs.fr

Jörg Schürmann
Westf. Wilhelms-Universität
SFB 478 "Geometrische Strukturen in der Mathematik"
Hittorfstr.27
48149 Münster, Germany
E-mail: jschuerm@math.uni-muenster.de

Shoji Yokura
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Faculty of Science, University of Kagoshima
21-35 Korimoto 1-Chome
Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan
E-mail: yokura@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp